

STATINTL

10 Jul

[redacted]  
comment (oral)

Concur all around  
(e.g., concealing "qualities",  
grouping of our factors  
but without group titles,  
negative statements) but  
strongly recommend deleting  
the word "ever" in the  
statements. Believe the  
"ever" makes it impossible,  
much too strong, takes it  
too far into the 'past', etc.

STATINTL

10 July  
[redacted]

We should make it clear that this isn't necessarily the scientific method we would use to isolate these qualities. This is done by inference both at the beginning and at the end of this paper.

This has a deadline of July 17, but shouldn't the RPS or at least the PCS Board members comment on this answer (this is being conducted through career service board channels) ?

If you wish, I'll hand carry it to the Board members and collect their comments.

D.S.T.

Good, pls do —

10 July 1953

Career Staff

STATINTL

[REDACTED] comments  
(presented orally).

---

Very difficult to comment on these factors since there is no frame of reference as to exactly how they are to be applied and used in the selection process. Feels that it would be very difficult to detect these things in the initial selection, but easier during the probationary period.

With this reservation and other vague misgivings (which we all have because of the way our commenting is limited to just the qualities themselves), he concurs, and hopes our other paper will settle his doubts.

Charlie

This has a 17 July deadline.

The comments of the Board members have been collected and are attached. The draft answer has been changed to satisfy their recommendations.

If you concur in this proposed answer as it now stands, do you wish Mr. Meloon to look at this draft or to receive a final paper for review and signature?

D.S.T.

Doug:  
Let's print it.  
e

16 JUL 1953

Doug -

Agree with Joe on deletion of "ever".  
The man who hasn't made some of these  
mistakes can sure as hell walk on  
water. Is there any way to qualify or  
tie down to an element of consistency  
or frequent abuse?

otherwise believe you have done  
as well as possible within the  
STATINTL framework of [redacted] instructions.

Jack



STATINTL

**Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt**

ILLEGIB